AACL 2014 – American Association for Corpus Linguistics

Full paper

Author: Susan Nacey

Affiliation: Hedmark University College, Norway

Paper title: Error versus creativity: The metaphorical language of Norwegian L2 English

learners

Category: Application (the use of corpora in language teaching and learning)

Abstract:

This paper explores the dividing line between 'difference' and 'deficiency' in the written language of Norwegian EFL learners by focusing on the complex concept of metaphorical creativity and its identification (see e.g. Nacey 2013: 157-203). Creativity merges the known with the familiar; metaphor —according to cognitive theorists— links disparate semantic domains to illuminate a less familiar (often abstract) concept in terms of a more familiar (more concrete and/or embodied) concept (see e.g. Steen 2011). The products of the creative process are new, and in some sense extraordinary. The prototypical metaphor —according to the traditional view— is vibrant and novel, provoking new insight (see e.g. Black 1981). Metaphor and creativity would thus seem to go hand in hand. Indeed, L2 language users, who per definition have access to two or more languages, may also produce manifestations of 'bilinguals' creativity' resulting from the 'mixing' of languages (Kachru 1985; Kumaravadivelu 1988, p. 313 and 316).

This corpus-based study examines all occurrences of metaphorical language in roughly 20,000 words of argumentative texts written by advanced Norwegian students of English that meet (at least) one of three oft-mentioned criteria of creativity: novelty, significance (i.e. the deliberate 'crafting' of language), and appropriateness (i.e. intelligibility) (Boden 2004: 43; Cameron 2011; Kövecses 2010: 664; Pitzl 2009, 2012; Semino 2011; Steen 2008); the potential role of the L1 is also investigated. The overarching goal is an evaluation of these criteria as valid measures of creativity, in an attempt to tease apart "what looks like a mistake but is in fact poetry" (McArthur, cited in Rubdy & Saraceni 2006: 23) in L2 learner language.

References

- Black, M. (1981). Metaphor. In M. Johnson (Ed.), *Philosophical Perspectives on Metaphor* (pp. 63-82). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Boden, M. A. (2004). The creative mind: Myths and mechanisms. London: Routledge.
- Cameron, L. (2011). Metaphor in Prosaic and Poetic Creativity. In R. Carter, J. Swann & R. Pope (Eds.), *Creativity in language and literature: The state of the art* (pp. 68-82). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kachru, B. B. (1985). The bilinguals' creativity. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 6, 20-33.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (1988). Communication strategies and psychological processes underlying lexical simplification. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 26(4), 309-319.
- Kövecses, Z. (2010). A new look at metaphorical creativity in cognitive linguistics. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 21(4), 663-697.
- Nacey, S. (2013). *Metaphors in learner English*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Pitzl, M.-L. (2009). "We should not wake up any dogs": Idiom and metaphor in ELF. In A. Mauranen & E. Ranta (Eds.), *English as a lingua franca: studies and findings* (pp. 298-322). Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars.
- Pitzl, M.-L. (2012). Creativity meets convention: Idiom variation and re-metaphorization in ELF. *Journal of English as a Lingua Franca*. Retrieved March 19, 2012, from http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/jelf.2012.1.issue-1/jelf-2012-0003/jelf-2012-0003.xml?format=INT
- Rubdy, R., & Saraceni, M. (2006). An Interview with Tom McArthur. In M. Saraceni & R. Rubdy (Eds.), *English in the world: global rules, global roles* (pp. 21-31). London: Continuum.

- Semino, E. (2011). Metaphor, Creativity, and the Experience of Pain across Genres. In R. Carter, J. Swann & R. Pope (Eds.), *Creativity in language and literature: The state of the art* (pp. 83-102). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Steen, G. J. (2008). When is metaphor deliberate? In C. Alm-Arvius, N.-L. Johannesson & D. Minugh (Eds.), *Selected Papers from the 2008 Stockholm Metaphor Festival* (pp. 43-64). Stockholm: University of Stockholm.
- Steen, G. J. (2011). The contemporary theory of metaphor--now new and improved! *Review of Cognitive Linguistics*, 9(1), 26-64.